Indirect field-oriented control of twin-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer

**Goal.** Development of a mathematical model of indirect field-oriented control of a twin-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer. The paper presents a mathematical model of Indirect field-oriented control of twin-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer. The mathematical model was developed in the MATLAB / Simulink software environment. The determination of the main parameters of a twin-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer was carried out by developing a finite element model in the Comsol Multiphysics software environment. **Results.** Based on the results of a mathematical study, graphical dependences of the distribution of magnetic induction in the air gap of a ferromagnetic rotor, a spatial representation of the distribution of magnetic induction on a 3D model of a ferromagnetic rotor of a twin-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer were obtained. The results of finite element modeling were confirmed by a practical study of a mock-up of a ferromagnetic rotor of a twin-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer. By implementing the MATLAB / Simulink model, graphical dependences of the parameters of the ferromagnetic rotor of a twin-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer are obtained under the condition of a stepwise change in the torque and a cyclic change in the angular velocity. **Originality.** The paper presents an implementation of the method of indirect field-oriented control for controlling the ferromagnetic rotor of a twin-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer. The work takes into account the complex design of the ferromagnetic rotor of a twin-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer. **Practical significance.** The practical implementation of results of mathematical modeling makes it possible to achieve effective control of a complex electromechanical system, allows further research to maintain the necessary parameters of the technological process and to develop more complex intelligent control systems in the future. References 19, tables 4, figures 21.
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**Introduction.** Efficient use of energy resources is an important task for today. The development of energy-saving technologies is directly related to increasing the efficiency of individual elements of the system, integrating the functional features of the system in one device and the use of dissipative energy. Since the increase in efficiency, provided that a certain level of system optimization is achieved, is achieved by developing new active and insulating materials, the methods of increasing it are limited. For technological systems that combine the processes of movement, heating and mixing of materials, the method of using dissipative energy should be considered the most effective [1]. Under the conditions of the previously mentioned integration of functional features of the system in one device and the use of dissipative energy, it becomes possible to save resources that under traditional schemes of energy conversion and use were spent, dissipated as heat into the environment.

Within the method of dissipative energy use, a double-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer has been developed for the processing of poultry by-products under the influence of a magnetic field. A double-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer is a technical device with polyfunctional properties, capable of simultaneously heating, dispersing, transporting, mixing and exposing to a magnetic field in one device. The main working element of the double-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer is a ferromagnetic rotor (Fig. 1). The ferromagnetic rotor simultaneously acts as the rotor of the induction motor, heater, working element and protective shell [2].

The electromagnetic system of a double-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer consists of stators rigidly mounted on a fixed shaft. During the flux of current through the stator windings, they create electromagnetic moments that drive the ferromagnetic rotor.
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Since the double-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer is a device that combines several technological processes, it is necessary to ensure effective control of the electromagnetic torque and rotation speed of the ferromagnetic rotor. Obtaining the necessary parameters of speed and torque is possible under the control of the joint operation of two stators, one of which operates in motor mode, the other – in generator mode, but with increasing stiffness of the resulting characteristics significantly reduces the overload capacity of the unit, developing another more effective management method.

Analysis of recent research and publications. Methods of controlling electric machines of alternating current are a difficult task. In the field of highly effective methods of speed and torque control, two methods have become the most widespread – field-oriented control and direct torque control [3-5]. In most cases, these methods are sufficient to meet most industrial needs, but due to the rapid development of digital technologies, more and more research is being conducted in the field of nonlinear control [6]. Recent publications include many works on various methods of controlling electric machines. The control of an induction motor by the method of indirect field-oriented control, considering the influence of perturbations of the rotor resistance, was performed in [3, 7]. The general recommendations for the design of the control system are given in the works, the criteria of stability and the minimum necessary phase conditions for the torque control are indicated, the results of the experimental study of a typical induction motor are presented. In [8], a method of predicted current control with field orientation was described, which was developed for a three-level inverter with a fixed neutral point for controlling a three-phase induction motor. The algorithm for calculating the optimal switching vector was used in the method, the control circuit of the stator voltage, stator current and motor’s rotor speed was determined. The simulation results have a slight error when calculating the rotor flux and stator current. Sensorless direct torque control of an induction motor powered by a seven-level inverter using neural networks and fuzzy logic controller is presented in [9], fuzzy PI controller is used to control rotor speed, and artificial neural network is used to stator voltage. The method proposed in this work allows to control the torque, reduce the harmonic distortion of the stator current, improve the dynamic characteristics and reliability of the system. The method of operating cycle control, which is chosen to reduce the pulsation of torque and magnetic flux with direct torque control, is presented in [10]. A new algorithm for accurate selection of active voltage has been developed, the optimal duration of switching on at simultaneous control of stator magnetic flux and electric torque has been determined. According to the results of the study, the presented method provides less pulsations of torque and magnetic flux compared to the traditional method of direct torque control and a model that provides a better model of predicted current control. In [11], a model of predicted torque and magnetic flux based on perturbations was developed; the method of perturbation suppression is improved to ensure compatibility with the theory of finite sets; perturbation is provided for all possible switching states. According to the research results, this method improves the stability of the response of torque and current, significantly increases the resistance of the system to changes in the values of the stator and rotor resistance in comparison with traditional control methods.

The methods presented in [7-11], built on the basis of complex algorithms of neural networks and fuzzy logic, allow to effectively control the parameters of electric machines, however, at the same time, they have certain disadvantages: high complexity of algorithms, a large number of controlled parameters, high design load and the need for a full-fledged system model [12, 13].

The double-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer is a new electric machine and most of its parameters and characteristics still need detailed research. From the existing research, a complete picture of the magnetic field of the ferromagnetic rotor of a double-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer is known [2, 14]. This allows to conclude that for its management it is advisable to use the method of field-oriented control.

The aim of the work is to study the electromagnetic processes in a ferromagnetic rotor of a double-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer and to evaluate the feasibility of using the hydrolyzer indirect field-oriented control method.

Presenting main material. Due to its simplicity, indirect field-oriented control is one of the most effective ways to control an AC machine. For effective control of torque and speed, the stator current components in the model must be separated from the vector magnetic flux of the rotor [15]. Since it is necessary to know the parameters of an electric machine to develop a model of indirect control with field orientation, there is a need to develop a mathematical model of the ferromagnetic rotor of a double-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer, where it is not needed to know these parameters.

Finite element model in the time domain of the study. Due to the need for high accuracy, as well as due to the peculiarities of the design and the need to reduce the time for design, we used the software environment Comsol Multiphysics. The analysis of the electromagnetic field is performed based on the system of Maxwell's equations.

The magnetization of the ferromagnetic rotor is given as the B-H curve and is determined from the equation:

\[ B = f(H), \quad \frac{H}{B}. \] (1)

Multi-turn stator windings are used as a current source in the model (Fig. 2).

In the diagram (Fig. 2) the beginning of the corresponding phases (conductors leave the groove) is marked in capital letters (A, B, C) and the end (conductors enter the slot) in small letters (a, b, c).

The current density in the winding, A/m²:

\[ J_e = \frac{N \cdot I_{coil}}{A} \cdot e_{coil}, \] (2)

where \( N \) – winding turns; \( A \) – winding cross-section, m²; \( I_{coil} \) – current in stator coil, A; \( e_{coil} \) – vector variable (to visualize the direction of winding turns).

The simulation was performed for a ferromagnetic rotor, the finite element mesh of the model (Fig. 3) was created in the software environment Comsol Multiphysics. Particular attention was paid to the air gap
at the interface between the stator and rotor of the electromechanical device. Statistics on the parameters of the mesh are given in Table 1.

![Fig. 2. Stator winding circuit](image)

**Fig. 2. Stator winding circuit**

![Fig. 3. Finite element mesh for ferromagnetic rotor model of double-screw electromagnetic hydrolyzer](image)

**Fig. 3. Finite element mesh for ferromagnetic rotor model of double-screw electromagnetic hydrolyzer**

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters of the model finite element mesh</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of mesh vertices</td>
<td>241325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrahedra</td>
<td>1444151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triangles</td>
<td>277074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edge elements</td>
<td>49887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertex elements</td>
<td>2759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of elements</td>
<td>1444151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum element quality</td>
<td>0.0307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average element quality</td>
<td>0.613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element volume ratio</td>
<td>2.027E-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesh volume</td>
<td>1.251E8 mm³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MATLAB / Simulink model of indirect control with field orientation.** In the case of low-speed orientation and for position control using an integration sensor-based flux sensor, a field-oriented indirect control model may not be acceptable for complex electromechanical systems. An alternative may be indirect control with field orientation without measuring the flux in the air gap [16]. Under such conditions, the torque can be regulated by the \( q \)-component of the stator current \( i_{qs}^* \) and the difference in the angular frequencies of the magnetic field of the stator and rotor \( \omega_s - \omega_r \). The rotor flux can be regulated by the \( d \)-component of the stator current \( i_{ds}^* \). Setting some desired value of the magnetic flux of the rotor \( \lambda_r^* \), the desirable \( d \)-component of the stator current \( i_{ds}^* \) can be obtained from the equation:

\[
\lambda_{dr}^* = \frac{r_i L_m}{r_r + L_r} i_{ds}^*,
\]

where \( \lambda_{dr}^* \) is the desired \( d \)-component of the rotor current, Wb; \( r_i \) is the induced active resistance of the rotor winding, \( \Omega \); \( L_m \) is the mutual induction of stator windings, T; \( p \) is the number of pole pairs.

For the desired torque value \( T_{em}^* \) at a certain value of the rotor flux, the desired value \( q \)-components of the stator current \( i_{qs}^* \) described by the equation:

\[
T_{em}^* = \frac{3}{2} \frac{P}{2} \frac{L_m}{L_r} \lambda_{ds}^* i_{qs}^*,
\]

where \( P \) is the power, W; \( L_r \) is the transient inductance of the rotor, H.

Because at a certain orientation of the field \( i_{dr}^* \) equal to zero and \( \lambda_{dr}^* = L_m i_{ds}^* \), then the desired angular speed of the rotor \( \omega_2^* \), (rad/s) described by the equation:

\[
\omega_2^* = \omega_e^* - \omega_r = \frac{r_i i_{qs}^*}{L_r i_{ds}^*},
\]

where \( \omega_e^* \) is the angular rotation velocity of the magnetic field, rad/s; \( \omega_r \) is the angular rotation speed of the rotor, rad/s.

The measured flux in the air gap is the resultant or reciprocal flux. This is not the same as the current connecting the rotor winding, whose angle \( \rho \) is the desired angle for field orientation. But, as the following equations show, in combination with the measured stator current, it is possible to determine the value of \( \rho \) and the magnitude of the rotor flux. The measured stator currents \( abc \) are first converted to a stationary current \( \begin{pmatrix} q \\ d \end{pmatrix} \) using the equations:

\[
i_{qs} = \frac{2}{3} i_{as} - \frac{1}{3} i_{bs} - \frac{1}{3} i_{cs},
\]

\[
i_{ds} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (i_{cs} - i_{bs}).
\]

The flux coupling of the rotor on the \( q \) axis in a fixed coordinate system can be expressed as:

\[
\lambda_{qr}^* = \left( L_m + L_r \right) i_{qs}^* + \left( L_m + L_r \right) i_{qs}^*.
\]

Because \( \lambda_{mq}^* \) equal to \( L_m i_{qs}^* + i_{qs}^* \) it is possible to define \( \lambda_{qr}^* \) by measured values, i.e.

\[
\lambda_{qr}^* = \frac{L_r}{L_m} \lambda_{mq}^* - L_r i_{qs}^*.
\]

Similarly, \( \lambda_{dr}^* \) can be determined from...


\[ \lambda_{dr}^e = \frac{L_r}{L_m} \lambda_{md} - L_r i_{ds}^s. \]  

(10)

Using calculated \( \lambda_{dr}^e \) and \( \lambda_{qr}^e \), let’s determine the cosine and sine \( \rho \) by geometric ratios:

\[
\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \rho\right) = \cos \rho = \left| \lambda_{dr}^e \right| / \left| \lambda_{qr}^e \right|, 
\]

(11)

\[
\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \rho\right) = \sin \rho = \left| \lambda_{dr}^e \right| / \left| \lambda_{qr}^e \right|, 
\]

(12)

where

\[
\left| \lambda_{dr}^e \right| = \left| \lambda_{qr}^e \right| = \sqrt{\lambda_{dr}^2 + \lambda_{qr}^2}. 
\]

(13)

The above calculations (6) – (13) are performed inside the field orientation unit. Estimated value \( \left| \lambda_{qr}^e \right| \) returns to the inlet of the flux regulator that control the flux in the air gap. Values are calculated inside the torque calculation unit \( \lambda_{dr}^e \) and \( i_{qs}^e \) are used to estimate the value of the torque produced by the machine, then the calculated torque is returned to the input of the torque regulator.

The corresponding output data of the torque and flux regulators are the values of the commands, \( i_{qs}^e \) and \( i_{ds}^e \), in the field-oriented rotor frame of reference. The transformations from \( gde \) to \( qds \) and \( qds \) to balanced \( abc \) take place in the whole unit of the \( gq \) to \( abc \) conversion unit:

\[
\begin{align*}
  i_{qs}^e &= i_{qs}^* \cos \rho + i_{ds}^* \sin \rho; \\
  i_{ds}^e &= -i_{qs}^* \sin \rho + i_{ds}^* \cos \rho; \\
  i_{as}^e &= i_{qs}^*; \\
  i_{bs}^e &= \frac{1}{2} i_{qs}^* - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} i_{ds}^*; \\
  i_{cs}^e &= -\frac{1}{2} i_{qs}^* + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} i_{ds}^*. 
\end{align*} 
\]

(14)

The orientation of the stator current field can also be achieved by applying the appropriate stator voltages. Since the strategy in the field-oriented circuit is to avoid disrupting the rotor flux connection as much as possible in response to changes in load torque, we can use a transient model in combination with properly oriented stator currents \( gq \) to determine stator voltage. Field-oriented stator currents \( gq \) are determined by converting the measured \( abc \) currents to stationary \( gq \) and values \( \rho \) in the following transformation

\[
\begin{align*}
  t_{qs}^e &= i_{qs}^* \cos \rho - i_{ds}^* \sin \rho; \\
  t_{ds}^e &= i_{qs}^* \sin \rho + i_{ds}^* \cos \rho. 
\end{align*} 
\]

(15)

In the transient model for a situation where it can be assumed that the flux coupling of the rotor remains constant, the machine can be represented by a constant voltage on the transient inductance of the stator.

Stator flux coupling can only be expressed through stator currents and rotor flux coupling, i.e.

\[
\lambda_{qs}^e = L_s^e i_{qs}^e + \frac{L_m}{L_r} \lambda_{qr}^e; 
\]

(17)

\[
\lambda_{ds}^e = L_s^e i_{ds}^e + \frac{L_m}{L_r} \lambda_{dr}^e; 
\]

(18)

\[
L_s^e \frac{dt_{qs}^e}{dt} + L_m \frac{d\lambda_{qr}^e}{dt} = v_{qs}^e - r_s t_{qs}^e - E_{qs}^e - \omega L_s^e i_{ds}^e; 
\]

(19)

\[
L_s^e \frac{dt_{ds}^e}{dt} + L_m \frac{d\lambda_{dr}^e}{dt} = v_{ds}^e - r_s t_{ds}^e - E_{ds}^e + \omega L_s^e i_{qs}^e. 
\]

(20)

Setting the derivatives of the time of flux coupling of the rotor to zero and rearranging so that the left side contains the sum of the voltage across the transient resistance and the voltage drop across the transient resistance of the stator, then we obtain

\[
r_s i_{qs}^e + L_s^e \frac{dt_{qs}^e}{dt} + E_{qs}^e = v_{qs}^e - \omega L_s^e i_{ds}^e; 
\]

(21)

\[
r_s i_{ds}^e + L_s^e \frac{dt_{ds}^e}{dt} + E_{ds}^e = v_{ds}^e + \omega L_s^e i_{qs}^e. 
\]

(22)

By adjusting the outputs of the torque and flux controllers for the cross-current, we obtain the required command values for \( v_{qs}^e \) and \( v_{ds}^e \). The command values for the stator voltages \( abc \) can be calculated as follows

\[
\begin{align*}
  v_{qs}^* &= v_{qs}^e \cos \rho + v_{ds}^e \sin \rho; \\
  v_{ds}^* &= v_{qs}^e \sin \rho - v_{ds}^e \cos \rho; \\
  v_{as}^* &= v_{qs}^e; \\
  v_{bs}^* &= -\frac{1}{2} v_{qs}^e - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} v_{ds}^e; \\
  v_{cs}^* &= -\frac{1}{2} v_{qs}^e + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} v_{ds}^e. 
\end{align*} 
\]

(23)

The desired rotor speed less than the nominal with a certain nominal supply voltage is described by equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
  \left( v_{qs}^e - jv_{ds}^e \right) &= \left( r_s + j\omega L_s^e \right) \left( v_{qs}^e - jv_{ds}^e \right) + \left( E_{qs}^e - jE_{ds}^e \right); \\
  \frac{T_0}{\omega r_s} &= \frac{x_m + x_m}{\omega r_s}. 
\end{align*} 
\]

(24)

(25)

where \( v_{qs}^e, v_{ds}^e - q \) and \( d \) voltage components, \( V_r \) – stator winding resistance, \( \Omega \); \( L_s^e \) – stator inductance, \( H \); \( E_{qs}^e, E_{ds}^e - q \) and \( d \) component of the magnetizing voltage, \( V_l \); \( x_b \) – inductive scattering resistance of the rotor winding, \( \Omega \); \( x_m \) – inductive resistance of the stator core magnetization, \( \Omega \).

**Simulation results.** Finite element modeling was performed for the model with the parameters given in Table 2.

The materials of the model were chosen:

- **Soft Iron** (without losses) – as a material of stator core;
- **Iron** – as the shaft material;
- **Copper** – as the stator winding material.
Table 2
Parameters of the stator double-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selected materials</th>
<th>Current in stator winding</th>
<th>Simulation time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.5 A</td>
<td>Range (0, 0.1, 1) s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Materials were selected from the library of materials of the Comsol software environment. As a material for the ferromagnetic rotor was chosen steel grade St3, the magnetization curve of which is shown in Fig. 4.

![Fig. 4. B-H steel St3 magnetization curve](image)

The picture of the distribution of magnetic induction for the nominal mode of operation of the ferromagnetic rotor of a double-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer is presented in Fig. 5.

![Fig. 5. Magnetic induction distribution pattern for the model ferromagnetic rotor of a double-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer](image)

Graphical representation of the distribution of magnetic induction in the air gap of the ferromagnetic rotor of a double-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer, at the beginning and end of the simulation is presented in Fig. 6.

![Fig. 6. Graphical representation of the distribution of magnetic induction in the air gap of a double-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer](image)

For quantitative assessment in Table 3 shows the average and maximum values of magnetic flux density in the air gap of a double-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer.

![Table 3](image)

According to the simulation results, it is noticeable that the discrete arrangement of the stators along the axial line of the ferromagnetic rotor of the double-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer forms stable zones with a cyclic level of magnetic field intensity. Around the air gap of the double-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer 6 narrow and wide zones, alternating with each other [14].

The main results of modulation were compared with the data obtained from the experimental study of the auger of the electromechanical hydrolyzer (Fig. 7).

![Fig. 7. Experimental sample of an electromechanical hydrolyzer](image)

During the research, magnetic induction was measured using MagneticFieldMeterTM-191 and PCE-MFM 4000 instruments (Fig. 8).

The average values of deviations between the results of mathematical and experimental research are 0.13 T, which is 2-3% in the measurement range of 0.4–0.8 T, so the mathematical model can be considered correct and used in further research.
MATLAB / Simulink model of indirect control with field orientation is made for one stator of a double-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer with the parameters specified in Table 4. From studies of the electromechanical characteristics of the double-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer [14] it is known that the nominal speed of the working body (ferromagnetic rotor) is 200 rpm. Since it is known that the stator contains 6 poles, the slip calculated as following:

$$s = \frac{n_1 - n_2}{n_1} = \frac{1000 - 200}{1000} = 0.8$$

where $n_1$ – synchronous speed, rpm; $n_2$ – actual speed, rpm.

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td>1400 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated voltage</td>
<td>118 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated current</td>
<td>10.5 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power factor</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of pole pairs</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated frequency</td>
<td>50 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated slip</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated rotation speed</td>
<td>1000 rpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator winding resistance</td>
<td>200 Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactive scattering resistance stator windings</td>
<td>0.1323 Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactive scattering resistance rotor windings</td>
<td>0.2033 Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactive magnetization resistance stator windings</td>
<td>0.2372 Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor winding resistance</td>
<td>5,0475 Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The inertia of the rotor</td>
<td>0.174 Ω</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The scheme of replacement of the double-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer is presented in Fig. 9-11.

Fig. 9. Scheme of stator replacement of ferromagnetic rotor of double-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer in reference system $q$-axis

Fig. 10. Scheme of replacement of a stator of a ferromagnetic rotor of a double-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer in the frame of reference $d$-axis

Fig. 11. Scheme of stator replacement of ferromagnetic rotor of double-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer in reference system zero-sequence

In Fig. 12 a general Simulink model of indirect control with field orientation is shown. To reduce the duration of the model calculation, the work does not consider the transients that occur in the PWM converter during control, only the main components of the output voltages are taken into account [18, 19].

Figure 13 presents the implementation of the non-direct control unit with field orientation. Values are calculated in the middle of the block $i_{ds}^*, i_{qs}^*, \omega_2^*, \theta_2$ – the sum of the rotor rotation angle $\theta_2$ and the angle of sliding integrated from the rotor rotation sensor $\theta_2$. In the middle of the block $qde2abc$ (Fig. 12) is the generation of reference $abc$ currents.

During the simulation, two types of control were implemented. The first one is a step change of the torque according to the desired, fixed value of the angular velocity of rotation (Fig. 14 – Fig. 17).
Fig. 12. MATLAB / Simulink model of double-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer with indirect control with field orientation

Fig. 13. Implementation of the model of indirect control of two-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer with field orientation

Fig. 14. Angular speed during start-up and loading, rad / s

Fig. 15. Voltage during start-up and loading, V

Fig. 16. Current during start-up and loading, A

Fig. 17. Torque during start-up and loading, Nm
The second one is a cyclic change in the angular rotation velocity (Fig. 18 – Fig. 21).

Conclusions. Since the double-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer is a device that combines several technological processes, there is a need to maintain the exact parameters of the technological process. The simulation results were obtained under the following conditions: simulation time from 0 to 2 s with a step 0.5 s; the fixed value of the angular velocity at the torque step change is equal to the rated value; the time array of torque change is [0, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 1.25, 1.5] s; the time array of angular velocity cyclic change is [0, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 1.25, 1.7] s.

From the simulation results, the expediency of using the method of indirect control with field orientation in a double-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer is noticeable.

Compared to the considered control methods, indirect control with field orientation is simpler in design and implementation, allows to achieve the desired characteristics and opens further opportunities for the study of double-screw electromechanical hydrolyzer.
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