SPRING SEARCH ALGORITHM FOR SIMULTANEOUS PLACEMENT OF DISTRIBUTED GENERATION AND CAPACITORS

Purpose. In this paper, for simultaneous placement of distributed generation (DG) and capacitors, a new approach based on Spring Search Algorithm (SSA), is presented. This method is contained two stages using two sensitive index $Sv$ and $Ss$. $Sv$ and $Ss$ are calculated according to nominal voltage and network losses. In the first stage, candidate buses are determined for installation DG and capacitors according to $Sv$ and $Ss$. Then in the second stage, placement and sizing of distributed generation and capacitors are specified using SSA. The spring search algorithm is among the optimization algorithms developed by the idea of laws of nature and the search factors are a set of objects. The proposed algorithm is tested on 33-bus and 69-bus radial distribution networks. The test results indicate good performance of the proposed method.
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Introduction. Lately, electricity trading and connecting distributed generation (DG) to the distribution network has been placed under private investors’ scope of interest. Besides, placing capacitors within medium voltage networks is a paramount factor, which is noticed highly by distribution companies. Noticeably since the DG and capacitor are related to each other as sources of active and reactive power, performing their placement at the same time makes more optimal solution found.

Placement of DGs. The distribution system planning requires DGs to be placed properly within the distribution system. In definition, DG known as a small generator is responsible of generating Stand Alone and On Grid electricity [1].

In placing DGs, some methods can be used. [2, 3] refers to the sizing and analytical method by which DGs can be placed and sized properly. The objective function of the mentioned reference is minimizing the loss. In [4], DGs are placed by considering some objective functions known as increasing the spinning reserve, improving the voltage profile, decreasing the load flow and increasing energy efficiency. In [5], based on the fuzzy logic the algorithm known as Bellman-zadeh is used for DGs placement. Reference [6], uses the load flow method by applying the voltage profile and the power loss such that it computes some objective function optimal paramount factors first, then decreases the transmission loss and finally improves the voltage profile. The method of DGs placement in [7], is based on the voltage stability analysis known as a security measure. It is proper to mention that the instantaneous apparent and reactive currents. Rinker who used a reactive current recorder to compute the instantaneous apparent and reactive currents. Moreover, in order to find the optimal solution Sundhararajan [21], applied a directed grid search method to decrease the number of candidate nodes such that by rejecting other nodes, the top two or three nodes in each
lateral branch were merely used. Xu [22], in his method of capacitor banks placement could reduce the power loss. Indeed, the low-side of transformers was considered a proper location for the capacitor bank. Some other important factors were taken into account by Kaur [15], like cost, size and location of capacitor bank. Ultimately, he could compensate the reactive power demand by using the load. An integrated optimization method enjoying a sequential strategy and multi objectives was proposed and then applied by Su [20], who could optimally place and then control the delta-connected switched capacitors.

**Simultaneous placement of DGs and Capacitors.** A more optimal solution can be achieved if DGs and capacitors are placed at the same time. This ideal motivated many researchers to seek its performing procedure such that in [23], this ideal was sought within the radial distribution network with different load levels. The same investigation was done in [24]. In this study through the simultaneous placement of DG and capacitor in a radial distribution network, researchers aimed to arrive at the optimal quantity, placement, and sizing. To fulfil this aim, researchers chose a new manner as the multi objective optimization problem, which encompassed the DG units', and capacitors' costs, power losses, and voltage stability margins. This problem performing process was to apply a developed genetic algorithm as the first stage in the proposed hierarchical optimization strategy. The other type of simultaneous capacitor banks and distributed generation allocation comprising the stochastic nature of DG was done in [25], in which the Tabu -search and genetic algorithms-based efficient hybrid method was proposed.

Likewise, in the present paper, a new method is suggested to simultaneously allocate DGs and Capacitors in distribution systems. Accordingly, concerning the nominal voltage of buses and network losses, two new sensitivity indexes are introduced. The first index is called the sensitivity index of voltage (Sv) which is based on the buses nominal voltage. The second one is the sensitivity index of losses network, which is related to the network losses. The present methodology operates within two stages; in the first one, candidate buses are chosen to install DG and capacitors concerning both sensitivity indexes of Sv and Ss; though, in the second stage, DG and capacitors placement and sizing are done by applying SSA. It is proper to mention that, the present study is indeed a case study using IEEE 33-bus of [7] and 69-bus of [25] and results are reported as well.

**Problem Formulation.** Minimizing the overall system loss and improving the system voltage profile are indeed two principal objectives of DGs optimal sizing and placement. Noticeably, in this process the bus and branch number must be minutely adjusted to find the answer of the load flow distribution (Fig. 1).

The process of branch numbering is as follows:
- choosing the root, swing or the slack bus when the main source is connected;
- ordering layers from the first layer of tree in which all branches connected to root bus;
- labelling the second layer of tree, which is connected to the first layer receiving end bus and so on.

In an assumed Π model of the network in which I branch is attached to k bus in one hand and to the m bus on the other hand, k bus is closer to the root bus that is the net power goes from k to m bus. Fig. 2 represents the power flow through the series impedance of the branch. These flows are represented in (1) and (2), elaborately.

\[
P_i' = P_m^L + P_m^F - P_i^L, \tag{1}
\]
\[
Q_i' = Q_m^L + Q_m^F - Q_m^L - V_i^2 \frac{Y_i}{2}, \tag{2}
\]

where \( L, F \) and \( I \) subscripts represents the load, the flow and the injection respectively. Branch \( i \) let the power flow near \( k \). This passage can be formulated as

\[
P_i = P_i' = P_i' + R_k \frac{P_i'^2 + Q_i'^2}{V_m^2}, \tag{3}
\]
\[
Q_i = Q_i' - V_k^2 \frac{Y_i}{2} = Q_i' + X_j \frac{P_j'^2 + Q_j'^2}{V_m^2} - V_k^2 \frac{Y_j}{2}, \tag{4}
\]

In order to calculate the power flow quantity in each branch of tree, it is computed recursively in a backward/anti clock-wise direction. Thus, the bus \( m \) complex voltage is computed as

\[
V_m = \left[ V_k \frac{P_i'R_i + Q_i'R_i}{V_k'} - \frac{P_i'X_i - Q_i'R_i}{V_k'} \right]. \tag{5}
\]

The strategy of finding the magnitude and angle of all buses voltages of the tree is to compute this complex voltage in a forward direction.
This computation is done iteratively again and again till the voltage difference at loop breaking points (breaking points of the tree) is placed within the acceptable limit. Hence the branch $I$ active power loss ($P_{Li}$) and reactive power loss ($Q_{Li}$) are measured as

$$P_{Li} = P_i - P'_i = R \frac{P'^2_i + Q'^2_i}{V_m^2},$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(6)}$$

$$Q_{Li} = Q_i - Q'_i = X_i \frac{P'^2_i + Q'^2_i}{V_m^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(7)}

Accordingly, quantities of the system net active, reactive and apparent power loss are

$$P_L = \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{Li};$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(8)}$$

$$Q_L = \sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_{Li};$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(9)}$$

$$SL = \left(P_L^2 + Q_L^2\right)^{1/2},$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(10)}

where $S_L$ is the distribution system apparent power loss.

As mentioned, the major objective of the present problem is to minimize the net power loss and modify the voltage profile of the system. It is proper to re-mention that the present paper seeks some other minor objectives like optimal sizing and placement of DGs and capacitors.

SSA or the spring search Algorithm optimization technique is known as a robust and a very few user dependent parameter which has a very good convergence characteristic. Besides, it doesn’t stagnate at the local minima. This characteristic is the major reason of selecting SSA to minimize the system the net power loss and to modify the voltage profile.

**Methodology.** In this study, stimulatory placement and sizing of DG and Capacitors are determined in two stages base on two sensitivity indexes.

Sensitivity indexes $Sv$ and $Ss$ are defined as

$$Sv^j = \sum_{i=1}^{NB} |V_i^j - 1|,$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(11)}$$

$$Ss^j = \frac{Sv^j}{S_L},$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(12)}

where $Sv^j$ is the sensitivity index of voltage in perchance Capacitor in Bus $j$, $NB$ is the number of buses, $Ss^j$ is the sensitivity index of loss power in perchance DG in bus $j$, $S_{L}^a$ is the apparent power loss in perchance DG in bus $j$, and $S_{L}^b$ is the apparent power loss in base case.

**First stage.** DGs and capacitors must be installed at the appropriate position. This position must have an acceptable impact on the characteristics of the network. So it is important to identify a suitable location for installing DGs and capacitors. In the first stage, candidate locations are determined. For this purpose $Sv$ and $Sv$ for all buses are calculated. Then, priorities of each bus for installing DG and capacitor are identified according to the $Sv$ and $Ss$.

**Second stage.** In the first stage, priorities of buses are determined. Prioritization of Buses makes the search space reduces and also increase accuracy. Now size and place of DGs and capacitors should be determined. For this purpose SSA is used. The objective function introduces as

$$\text{objective function} = k_1 S_{v}^n + k_2 S_{s}^n, \hspace{1cm} \text{(13)}$$

where $k_1$ and $k_2$ is the weight factors, $S_{v}^n$ and $S_{s}^n$ are the normalized sensitivity indexes.

**The Spring Force Optimization** [26, 27]. Optimization algorithms have been used in many applications in electrical engineering [28] such as operation of electrical energy [29] and energy management [30].

The SSA algorithm is explained in two phases: 1 – making an artificial system with the discrete time in the problem atmosphere, the initial positioning of objects, determining the governing laws and principles, and arranging parameters, 2 – passing the time until arriving at the stop time.

**Forming system, determining the laws, and adjustment of the parameter.** In the first step, the system atmosphere is determined. This atmosphere includes a multi-dimensional coordinates within the problem definition atmosphere. Any point in the space is the answer to the problem. Searching factors are a set of objects, which are attached to each other by springs. Indeed, each object is attached to any other objects by means of spring, and each object has the characteristics of the springs’ position and stiffness coefficient attached to it. The object position is a point in the space where it is a solution of the problem. The springs’ stiffness coefficients can be determined concerning the suitability of any two objects attached to one another.

After making the system, its governing laws are determined. It is supposed that the governing laws are merely the spring law and the motion law. The general schematic of these laws are approximately similar to the nature laws and it is defined as below:

The spring force law (Hook law). In physics, mechanics and the elastic material science, the spring force law is an approximate which shows that a material length change has a linear relationship with its imposed force. Most materials follow this rule with a good (acceptable) approximate till the imposed force is lower than their elastic ability. Any deviation from the Hook law can be increased by increasing deformations such that in many deformations when the material trespasses the linear elastic domain, the Hook law loses its applicability [23, 24]. In the present article, it is supposed that the Hook law is always satisfied.

The motion laws. The present movement of each object equals to the coefficient sum of the object last position and its dislocation. Any object dislocation can be determined concerning the spring force law [23].

Now assume the system as a set of $m$ objects. The position of each object is a point in the space where it can be the answer to the optimization problem. In equation (15), the $d$ position of $I$ object is shown with $x_i^d$

$$X_i = \left(x_i^1,..., x_i^{d},..., x_i^n\right). \hspace{1cm} \text{(15)}$$
At first, the objects position is defined within the problem definition atmosphere randomly. These objects pave the way to arrive at the balance point (solution) concerning forces imposed to each other by spring.

In order to compute the spring stiffness, equation (16) is used

\[ K_{i,j} = K_{\text{max}} \left| F_n^j - F_n^i \right| / \max \left\{ F_n^j, F_n^i \right\}, \quad (16) \]

where \( K_{i,j} \) is the spring stiffness between \( i \) and \( j \) objects, \( K_{\text{max}} \) represents the maximum quantity of the spring stiffness which is determined regarding the problem type, \( F_n \) shows the normalised objective function, and \( F_n^j, F_n^i \) are the normalised objective functions of \( i \) and \( j \) objects.

In order to normalise the objective function, equations (17) and (18) are used:

\[ F_n^j = \frac{f_{\text{obj}}^j}{\min \{f_{\text{obj}} \}}; \quad (17) \]
\[ F_n^i = \min \{F_n^j\} \times \frac{1}{F_n^j}, \quad (18) \]

where \( f_{\text{obj}} \) is the objective function and \( f_{\text{obj}}^j \) is the objective function quantity of object \( i \).

In a problem with \( m \) variables, it is possible to suppose that the problem has \( m \) dimensions; hence, it is possible to define a coordinate for each dimension; thus, it is plausible to depict the equivalent of any system variable on the related coordinate. On each coordinate, the robust/strong points of the right side and left side of the object are determined concerning the comparison of the objective function quantity. The robust/strong points of each object are indeed those objects, which are in fact in a more optimal position, rather than the object itself.

Therefore, on each coordinate, two total sum forces are imposed to the object: the right side sum forces and the left side sum forces. In order to compute these forces, there are

\[ F_{n}^{j,d} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} K_{i,j} X_{i,d} ; \quad (19) \]
\[ F_{n}^{j,d} = \sum_{l=1}^{n} K_{l,j} x_{l,d} ; \quad (20) \]

where, respectively, \( F_{n}^{j,d} \) and \( F_{n}^{j,d} \) are the resultant force imposed to object \( j \) from the right and left side at the dimension \( d \); \( n_R^d \) and \( n_L^d \) are respectively the number of right and left robust/strong points of \( d \)th dimension; \( K_{i,j} \) and \( K_{l,j} \) show the spring stiffness connected to \( j \) object on one hand and the strong points on the other hand.

Now by applying the Hook law in \( d \)th dimension, there are

\[ dX^{j,d}_K = \frac{F_{n}^{j,d}}{K_{\text{equal}}^{j}} ; \quad (21) \]
\[ dX^{j,d}_L = \frac{F_{n}^{j,d}}{K_{\text{equal}}^{l}} . \quad (22) \]

where \( dX^{j,d}_R \) and \( dX^{j,d}_L \) are respectively the \( j \) object dislocation to the right and to the left in the \( d \)th dimension.

Therefore, there is

\[ dX^{j,d} = dX^{j,d}_R + dX^{j,d}_L , \quad (23) \]

where \( dX^{j,d} \) is the \( j \) object ultimate dislocation along with the \( d \) dimension.

This dislocation quantity can be positive or negative concerning equation (23). Now, there is

\[ X^{j,d} = X^{j,d}_R + \eta \times dX^{j,d} , \quad (24) \]

where \( X^{j,d} \) is related to the new balance point place and time of the \( j \) object in the \( d \) dimension; \( X^{j,d}_R \) is the \( j \) object initial balance point along with the \( d \) dimension. Here, there is a random number with a constant distribution within [0,1] time span which is used to keep the random mode of the search.

The passing of time and the parameter updating. At the beginning of the system formation, any object is randomly placed in a point in the space where it is the answer to the problem. At each moment of the time, objects are assessed and then their dislocations are computed after calculating equations (16) to (23). At the later time, the object holds a place at that position. The present used parameter is the spring stiffness coefficient which is updated at each level based on equation (16). The stop point can be determined after passing a definite time. The spring force algorithm different steps are shown as below:

1. Determining the system atmosphere and the allocating the initial quantities;
2. The initial positioning of objects;
3. Assessing and normalising the objects suitability;
4. The \( k \) parameter updating;
5. Forming the spring force and motion laws for each object;
6. Computing the values of objects dislocations;
7. Updating the objects positions/locations;
8. Repeating steps 3 to 7 till the stop point is satisfied;

Simulation result. In order to simulate the proposed problem, the IEEE 33-bus and IEEE 69-bus radial network is used. The networks data, including the resistance and reactance of the lines and the loads connected to nodes, were presented in [7, 25]. In order to show the importance of studying the simultaneous placement and sizing of the DG units and the capacitors, first, for the proposed networks, placement, and sizes of the DG units and the capacitors are presented separately, and finally, the simultaneous placement and sizing of the DGs and capacitors is determined and the results are compared.

Placement and sizing of DG. In this section, placement and sizing of DG units regarding the minimum value of the problem objective function are defined. The results for this case are shown in Table 1.

Placement and sizing of Capacitor. In this section, placement and sizing of capacitors regarding the minimum value of the problem objective function are defined. The results for this case are shown in Table 2.
Simultaneous placement and sizing of DG and Capacitors. In this section, placement and sizing of DG units and capacitors regarding the minimum value of the problem objective function are defined. The results for this case are shown in Table 3.

Placement and sizing of DGs and capacitors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Network</th>
<th>Capacity (kW)</th>
<th>Bus No.</th>
<th>Capacity (kVA)</th>
<th>Bus No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33-bus</td>
<td>106.5249</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>344.2624</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>504.8428</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>892.5297</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69-bus</td>
<td>750.3225</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>893.2986</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>786.5941</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>221.2428</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>702.4423</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>857.5422</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Losses and $S_v$ of the system different case of study are shown in Table 4. The voltages profile of network before and after the installation of the DGs and capacitors are shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4.

Placement and sizing of Capacitors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Network</th>
<th>Capacity (kVA)</th>
<th>Bus No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33-bus</td>
<td>551.1281</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69-bus</td>
<td>746.4401</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>654.3891</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Losses and $S_v$ of the network

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Network</th>
<th>Case study</th>
<th>Power losses (kVA)</th>
<th>$S_v$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IEEE 33-Bus</td>
<td>BASE</td>
<td>243.6003</td>
<td>1.7009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DG</td>
<td>106.5249</td>
<td>0.5301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CAPACITOR</td>
<td>155.1845</td>
<td>0.7368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DG and CAPACITOR</td>
<td>78.6582</td>
<td>0.2162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEEE 69-Bus</td>
<td>BASE</td>
<td>247.0873</td>
<td>1.8367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DG</td>
<td>83.7836</td>
<td>0.5513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>158.5726</td>
<td>0.7068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DG and CAPACITOR</td>
<td>29.6673</td>
<td>0.1278</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion. According to the above simulation results, the operation from the network that is in the presence of DG provided better conditions than the operation in the presence of the capacitors, which shows that the role of DG is more effective than that of the capacitors. Also, the simulation results show that the optimal operation of the network occurs in the simultaneous expansion planning of DGs and capacitors. Comparing the results, it is obvious that optimal operation from the network is obtained by the simultaneous placement of DG units and capacitors.

Conclusions. In this paper, we have presented a new approach for simultaneous placement and sizing of distributed generation and capacitors. Two sensitive indexes has been defined based on voltage profile and power loss of the network. Proposed methodology has been implemented in two stages. In the first stage, the candidate buses for installation DG and capacitors has been determined. Then in the second stage, the places and sizes of the DGs and Capacitors have been located using SSA. The proposed algorithm is tested on IEEE-33 bus and IEEE-69 bus distribution system. The voltage profile and power loss of the system has improved to acceptable limit.
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